

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/222,282	12/28/1998	MARK RASPER		3386
75	90 08/21/2003			
RUSSELL L JOHNSON			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 161 WEYAUWEGA	A, WI 54983		PRONE, JASON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	014
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		EC
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/222,282	RASPER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason Prone	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (i od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>4 May 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matte er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	lrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam		t to both a Forming
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 1998</u> is		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
,	LAdifficer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	oign priority under 25 U.S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eigh phonty under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(u) or (i).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	anta barra bana manabina	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		aliantian Na
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the paper in t	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s)	, , ,	-
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/222,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the foot note on page 3 of the Board decision mailed on 14 May 2003, prosecution is re-opened for consideration of the issues under 35 U.S.C. 112 raised by the Board in said note. In addition, other informalities that have come to the attention of the examiner are noted.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: In Figure 3, item "35". A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The content of the specification is lacking the "Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)" section, see MPEP § 608.01(f). For, example, without this brief description section, it is difficult to determine the relationship between Figure 2 and Figure 4 because the Figures do not share any labeled items.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 09/222,282

Art Unit: 3724

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph "g", the period after the word "cut" should be replaced with a comma. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 1, it has been noted by the Board that the preamble of the claim is not commensurate in scope with the body of the claim in that the preamble is directed to an indexing means for a cutting knife while the body of the claim actually recites both a circular knife and an indexing means for the knife. Additionally, the claim is replete with "means" recitations and it is not apparent to the Board or the examiner what structure is being disclosed in the specification that corresponds to these "means". Merely by way of example, it is not clear what two structures correspond to the recited "means" in paragraphs c) and d) of the claim. While one of these "means" could correspond to the keyway shown in Figure 4, no other structure appears to correspond to the other of these two "means". If means-plus-function language is employed in this or any other added claim, an adequate disclosure showing what is meant by that language must be set forth in the specification. In view of this paragraph, it is asserted that the applicant has in effect failed to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

Art Unit: 3724

invention as required by the second paragraph of section 112. <u>In re Dossel</u>, 115 F .3d 942, 946, 42 USPQ2d 1881 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

In regards to claim 1, the phrase "a non-rotating circular core cutting knife", on line 1, and the phrase "...rotates the knife in the direction of rotation of a core...", on line 2 of paragraph "g", are unclear. It is uncertain how a non-rotating knife rotates.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. It is to be noted that the claim appears to be readable over the art of record. However, in view of the issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, comment with respect to it's allowability cannot be made at this time.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. King, Montgomery, Bocker, Ainoura, Terauchi, Languillat, Bentley, Johnson, Satran et al., Cole et al., and Ager et al.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/222,282

Art Unit: 3724

JP

August 13, 2003

Alian N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

E. ROLLINS-CROSS GROUP DIRECTOR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700